

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG  
Department of Mathematics  
MATH2060B Mathematical Analysis II (Spring 2017)  
HW8 Solution

Yan Lung Li

1. (P.246 Q5)

Case 2:  $x = 0$ : Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin nx}{1 + nx} = \frac{0}{1 + 0} = 0$$

Case 3:  $0 < x < +\infty$ : Since  $|\sin nx| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + nx} = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin nx}{1 + nx} = 0$$

2. (P.247 Q15)

(i) Fix  $a > 0$ , then by Q5, for all  $x \in [a, +\infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin nx}{1 + nx} = 0$ . We claim the convergence is uniform in  $[a, +\infty)$ :

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + na} = 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{1 + Na} < \epsilon$ . Then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $x \in [a, +\infty)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\sin nx}{1 + nx} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{1 + Na} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the convergence is uniform in  $[a, +\infty)$ .

(ii) We claim that the convergence is not uniform in  $[0, +\infty)$ : By Q5, if the convergence were uniform, the uniform limit function would be given by  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ .

We use Lemma 8.15 of the textbook to show that  $f_n(x) = \frac{\sin nx}{1 + nx}$  does not converge to  $f$ :

Choose  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \pi}$ ,  $n_k = k$ ,  $x_k = \frac{\pi}{2k}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n_k}(x_k) - f(x_k)| &= \left| \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}}{1 + \frac{\pi}{2}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\pi}{2}} \\ &> \frac{1}{1 + \pi} = \epsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the convergence is not uniform.

3. (P.247 Q22)

To show the uniform convergence of  $f_n$  to  $f$ , note that  $f_n(x) - f(x) = (x + \frac{1}{n}) - x = \frac{1}{n}$ , and hence  $\|f_n - f\|_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by Lemma 8.1.8 of the textbook,  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

To show  $f_n^2$  does not converge uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ , by Lemma 8.1.10 of the textbook, it suffices to find some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m, n \geq N$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f_n^2(x) - f_m^2(x)| \geq \epsilon_0$$

Let  $\epsilon_0 = 1$ , for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , chooses  $m = 2N, n = N, x = N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n^2(x) - f_m^2(x)| &= |(x + \frac{1}{n})^2 - (x + \frac{1}{m})^2| \\ &= |(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{m})x + \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{m^2}| \\ &= |(\frac{2}{N} - \frac{2}{2N})N + \frac{1}{N^2} - \frac{1}{4N^2}| \\ &= 1 + \frac{3}{4N^2} > 1 = \epsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f_n^2$  does not converge uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

4. (P.247 Q23) Since  $f_n, g_n$  converges uniformly to  $f, g$  respectively on  $A$ , and that  $f_n, g_n$  are bounded for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $B, C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|f\|_A \leq B$  and  $\|g\|_A \leq C$  (Why?). To show  $f_n g_n$  converges uniformly to  $f g$  on  $A$ , we use the definition of uniform convergence:

Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  be given, by Lemma 8.1.8, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $\|f_n - f\|_A < \frac{\epsilon}{2(1+C)}$

and  $\|g_n - g\|_A < \frac{\epsilon}{2B+1}$ . In particular,  $\|g_n\|_A \leq \epsilon + C < 1 + C$

Then for all  $x \in A$ ,  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n g_n(x) - f g(x)| &\leq |f(x)| |g(x) - g_n(x)| + |g_n(x)| |f(x) - f_n(x)| \\ &< B \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2B+1} + (1+C) \cdot (\frac{\epsilon}{2(1+C)}) \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f_n g_n$  converges uniformly to  $f g$  on  $A$ .

Remark: Many students use the boundness of each function of the sequence  $(f_n)$  (similarly for  $(g_n)$ ) to argue that there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  (independent of  $n$ ) such that  $\|f_n\|_A \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is not true in general (consider  $f_n(x) \equiv n$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) unless  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to some function on  $A$ . One has to use Cauchy criterion to argue the existence of such  $M$ .